## 

1 2	MICHAEL D. BRUNO (SBN 166805) JEROME SCHREIBSTEIN (SBN154051) GORDON & REES LLP Embarcadero Center West		
3	275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111		
5	Telephone: (415) 986-5900 Facsimile: (415) 986-8054		
6	Attorneys for Defendants THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, TODD MCGREGOR and		
7 8	JAMES JACOBS (EXEMPT FROM FEES, 6103)		
9	DAVID A. STEVENS (SBN 054635)		
10	Telephone: (619) 260-0507		
11			
12	EHUD GERSTEN (SBN 236159)		
13	Gersten Law Group 3115 Fourth Avenue		
14	San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 600-0098		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff NETTA GRUTMAN	ſ	
16	,		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	NETTA GRUTMAN,	CASE NO. 10-02347 JCS	
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
21	V.	ON CROSS-MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING	
22	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY	STATUTORY DAMAGES UNDER UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28	1		
_0	STIPULATION AND ORDER ON CROSS-MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDIN STATUTORY DAMAGES UNDER UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (CASE NO. 10-02347 JCS)		

1 **STIPULATION** 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULTED BETWEEN PLAINTIFF NETTA GRUTMAN AND 3 DEFENDANTS, THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, TODD 4 MCGREGOR AND JAMES JACOBS, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AS 5 FOLLOWS: WHEREAS, Plaintiff has included in her Complaint, at her fourth claim for relief, an 6 allegation that defendants violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code sections 51 7 8 et seq. (UCRA), including the allegation that Plaintiff is minimally entitled to "\$4,000 for each 9 incident of deterrence" in violation of the UCRA; 10 WHEREAS, Plaintiff contends that, under the UCRA, she is entitled to said minimal 11 recovery for each and every instance in which she can demonstrate that she was denied legally 12 required equal access to the subject premises and Defendants contend that such recovery is not, as 13 a matter of law, available; 14 WHEREAS, the parties agree that the disposition of this issue as a matter of partial 15 summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a), (b) will aid the litigants and the 16 Court in the efficient adjudication of this matter; WHEREAS, the parties agree that the summary disposition of this issue shall be without 17 18 prejudice to the parties' rights to seek further relief in this case under the provisions of Federal 19 Rule of Civil Procedure 56, specifically including a subsequent motion for summary judgment 20 and/or partial summary judgment; and 21 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to the following briefing schedule: (1) opening briefs 22 shall be filed on/before April 22, 2011; and (2) response briefs shall be filed on/before May 6, 23 2011. The parties propose that the matter be heard on May 27, 2011 at 9:30 a.m. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28

## Casse3::10-cv-02347-JCS Document38 Filed04/05/11 Page330633

1	IT IS SO AGREED.		
2	Dated: April 1, 2011 GORDON & REES LLP		
3			
4	By: /S/ MICHAEL D. BRUNO		
5	JEROME SCHREIBSTEIN Attorneys for Defendant THE REGENTS		
6	OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, TODD MCGREGOR and JAMES JACOBS		
7	Dated: April 1, 2011 GERSTEN LAW GROUP		
8	GERGIEIVEAW GROOT		
9	By: <u>/S/</u>		
10	EHUD GERSTEN		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff NETTA GRUTMAN		
12	NETTY GROTWIN		
13	Dated: April 1, 2011		
14	By: /S/		
15	DAVID A. STEVENS		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
16	NETTA GRUTMAN		
17	<u>ORDER</u>		
18	BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND GOOD CAUSE OTHERWISE APPEARING, the		
19	Court Orders that, with respect to the parties' cross-motions for partial summary judgment on the		
20	issue of available minimum statutory damages under the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, Cal.		
21 22	Motions shall be filed on or  Civ. Code § <i>et seq.</i> , (1) opening briefs shall be filed on/before April 22, 2011; and (2) response  Reply briefs shall be filed on/before May 13, 2011.		
	briefs shall be filed on/before May 6, 2011 The matter shall be heard on May 27, 2011 at 9:30		
23	a.m. Hearing on the cross-motions will be set by the Court after completion of briefing.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	Dated: April 5, 2011  By:  By:    SO ORDERED   17 IS SO ORDERED   17 I		
26			
27 28	Judge Joseph C. Spero		
20	STIPULATION AND ORDER ON CROSS-MOTIONS FOR PARTY SUMMARY JUDGAPONT REGARDING STATUTORY DAMAGES UNDER UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT. (CASE NO. 310 02347 JCS)		
	DISTRICT		